



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
LUCKNOW**

WRIT - A No. - 5994 of 2026

Smt. Arti Pandey

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Medical And
Health U.P. Lko. And 5 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Raman Kumar
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C.

Court No. - 3

HON'BLE KARUNESH SINGH PAWAR, J.

Heard Sri G.C. Verma, learned Senior Advocate, assisted by Sri Raman Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner, and Sri Piyush Kumar, learned Standing Counsel.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the government accommodation allotted to the petitioner is sought to be vacated by the impugned order contained in Annexure No. 1 without following the due process of law.

Learned Standing Counsel, on the basis of instructions, has opposed the petition. However, he submits that the petitioner, being a contractual employee, is not entitled to government accommodation. He prays that he may be granted two days' time to seek further instructions in the matter.

List this case on 10.06.2026, as fresh, at 02:15 p.m.

(Karunesh Singh Pawar,J.)

June 8, 2026

Raj



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
LUCKNOW**

WRIT - A No. - 5994 of 2026

Smt. Arti Pandey

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Medical And
Health U.P. Lko. And 5 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Raman Kumar
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C.

Court No. - 15

HON'BLE BRIJ RAJ SINGH, J.

1. On 08.06.2026, this Court has directed the learned Standing Counsel to seek complete instructions in the matter. However, it appears that complete instructions have not been received by the learned Standing Counsel.
2. This Court has made a query regarding the procedure for allotment of a Government quarter, on which learned Standing Counsel submits that he will bring the relevant record or the policy, if any, in this regard on the next date.
3. List in this case on 15.06.2026, as fresh, at 2.15 P.M.

(Brij Raj Singh,J.)

June 10, 2026

Rao/-



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
LUCKNOW**

WRIT - A No. - 5994 of 2026

Smt. Arti Pandey

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Medical And
Health U.P. Lko. And 5 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Raman Kumar
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C.

Court No. - 3

HON'BLE ARUN KUMAR SINGH DESHWAL, J.

1. On the request of learned Standing Counsel **put up this case on 22.06.2026, in the same category.**

(Arun Kumar Singh Deshwal,J.)

June 15, 2026

Muk



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
LUCKNOW**

WRIT - A No. - 5994 of 2026

Smt. Arti Pandey

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Medical And
Health U.P. Lko. And 5 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Raman Kumar
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C.

Court No. - 3

HON'BLE MANJIVE SHUKLA, J.

Supplementary affidavit filed today is taken on record.

Heard Shri G.C. Verma, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Raman Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for the respondents.

This Court vide order dated 08.6.2026 directed learned Standing Counsel to take categorical instructions, as to whether the contractual employees are entitled for allotment of official accommodation.

Learned Standing Counsel on the basis of instructions received from Chief Medical Superintendent MIK District Woman Hospital, Balrampur has submitted that the contractual employees working in the hospital are not allotted official accommodations.

Shri G.C. Verma learned Senior Advocate appearing for the petitioner has vehemently submitted that the instructions provided by the Chief Medical Superintendent to learned Standing Counsel are highly misconceived as petitioner was allotted official accommodation way back in the year 2015 and respondents are deducting rent of that official accommodation from her salary. He further submits that not only the petitioner but all other contractual employees working in the hospital at Balrampur have been given official accommodation.

This Court prima facie finds that once the rent was being deducted by the respondents from salary payable to the petitioner then it is highly objectionable on their part to say that the petitioner was never allotted the said official accommodation, as if accommodation was not allotted there is no question of deduction of any rent from her salary.

It appears that the Chief Medical Superintendent, MIK District Woman Hospital, Balrampur has provided incorrect and inappropriate instructions to learned Standing Counsel.

Aaccordingly, list this matter on 25.06.2026. On the said date the Chief Medical Superintendent MIK District Woman Hospital shall remain present before this Court along with record of the official accommodations allotted to all the contractual employees working in the hospital.

June 22, 2026
mks

(Manjive Shukla,J.)